

# **ANNEX E**

## **COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM RESIDENTS DURING THE CONSULTATION PROCESS**

## ANNEX E

### COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING THE CONSULTATION PROCESS: DANESMEAD CLOSE ESTATE, BROADWAY WEST, WESTFIELD DRIVE AND FULFORD CROSS

Comments received	Officer comments (where appropriate)
<p><b>Cost Related:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Just a way of getting more money; money making scheme for council</li> <li>• You can't find parking when you want it and now you want to charge us for permits</li> <li>• Cost too high/astronomical</li> <li>• Uncertainty about price charges in the future</li> <li>• Increased costs to household budgets with no significant benefits</li> </ul>	<p>Cost of permits is set within budget process and does not fall within the remit of this report</p>
<p><b>School related problem</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Problem caused entirely by adjacent schools at certain times of the day.</li> <li>• Schools should be made to create parking on own sites for number of cars required before residents are asked to pay for a problem they have not created.</li> <li>• Problem is Steiner School, some commuters and race days, Resident Parking won't fix that and we will be paying for nothing</li> <li>• Restrictions would impinge on Steiner School</li> </ul>	<p>A scheme would not prevent parents and carers accessing area for drop off and pick up. It will prevent commuters, race day parking and school staff parking during operational hours.</p> <p>We received several comments about the inconsiderate parking caused by Steiner School and their visitors.</p>

<p><b>Parking issues</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is no parking problem on Westfield Drive</li> <li>• No issues with parking on our street (Norway Drive)</li> <li>• No significant parking issues exist (Broadway West)</li> <li>• Only problems are when schools have events</li> <li>• Petitions are an emotional response rather than a practical one</li> <li>• Worries about emergency access and refuse collections</li> </ul>	<p>The parking problems reported are mainly related to a small section of the Danesmead Estate and Fulford Cross.</p>
<p><b>Other options suggested</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A few double lines in Danesmead Close would be sufficient</li> <li>• Council should liaise with schools and consider more double yellow lines (DYL)</li> <li>• Prefer DYL on one side of the road</li> <li>• Restrictions to improve access for larger vehicles and remove obstructive parking (ResPark not necessary)</li> <li>• If scheme not adopted please increase amount of DYL</li> <li>• Increase DYL for 150m at entrance (Danesmead Close)</li> </ul>	<p>Double or Single Yellow lines could be an option to remove obstructive parking. A single yellow line, Monday to Friday would allow resident parking at other times. The petition received requested Resident Priority Parking.</p> <p>Yellow lines would not remove the parked vehicles but displace them to a wider area.</p> <p>DYL at entrance may not be required if scheme is implemented. There are existing restrictions at this location for standard 10m.</p>
<p><b>Enforcement</b></p> <p>Will restrictions be enforced, current DYL are not</p>	<p>New schemes are enforced more rigorously for the first week. After this they will be placed on the enforcement</p>

	<p>routes by the Civil Enforcement Team. All residents are provided with a Parking Hotline number to report illegally parked vehicles.</p>
<p><b>Area of Implementation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All streets should be included or problem will just move on.</li> <li>• Either the whole area becomes ResPark or none to prevent displacement.</li> <li>• Scheme would be unnecessary if other areas not converted to ResPark forcing displaced vehicles further out.</li> </ul>	<p>Displacement of vehicles to other streets is likely to occur.</p> <p>A scheme on Danesmead Estate is likely to increase the amount of non-residential parking on Broadway West.</p> <p>ResPark schemes were first introduced in York in 1985. There are now over 60 zones.</p>
<p>There will be an environmental impact as residents convert their front gardens to parking to avoid parking charges.</p>	<p>Most residents in the area already have an off-street parking amenity.</p>
<p>Plan will increase vehicle movements where both vehicles parked on drive and one has to be moved to gain egress for the other. Increased environmental and air quality impact and additional pedestrian risks associated with more vehicles driving across footway.</p>	<p>No comments</p>
<p>We moved here because of the unrestricted parking and not being a ResPark zone.</p>	
<p><b>Fulford Cross only</b></p> <p>Concerns about the education land and whether this will be available to Residents</p>	<p>This is covered within the main report.</p>